

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,614	CHU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel G. Neway	2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Samuel G. Neway. (3)_____

(2) James Boice. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 24 January 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kraft (USPN 6,931,130).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached on the fact that Kraft is not prior art as Applicant invoked 35 U.S.C 103(c) and both the reference, which is available only as a 102(e) date, and the Application were owned by IBM at the time of the invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable; if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required